THEORY PRESENTATION TOPIC: Units of competency:

ABCDE safety checks SISOABN305A SISOCLA311 SISOCLN304A



Name of Candidate:			
Assessment Date:			
Place of Assessment:			
Industry context of lesson	[] Outdoor rec	[] Public safety [] Industrial roping	
Stated Lesson Time Frame		(+/- 10 min leeway either side)	
Start Time:			
Finish Time:			

Note to assessor:

The intent is to assess the performance of the candidate, and to confirm if competency has been achieved. Your assessment decision is to be based against explicit and measurable criteria and not against your personal preference of how the topic ought to be presented. You should not interrupt candidates during their presentation. Candidates must be advised of their assessment result at the end.

	KEY PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	ASSESSOR REMARKS	C / NYC
1	Lesson topic is identified		
2	Reason for learning the ABCDE safety checks is given		
-	[] Stated reason(s) motivates students to be attentive and receptive to		
	learning		
	[] Stated reason(s) is realistic for the industry context		
3	Purpose of a safety check:		
	[] benefits are explained		
	[] risks of not doing a safety check?		
4	Why use letters of the alphabet?		
	[] acronym – easy to remember (everyone knows the alphabet)		
	[] Fire rescue services use 'ARCHER' (Anchors, Rope, Connectors,		
	Harness, Equipment, Ready) – compare & contrast with ABCDE		
5	A = Anchors:		
	[] content of this step is explained		
	[] examples are given		
	[] anchors could be trees, bolts, boulders, existing beams, etc		
	[] check there is no single-point of failure (particularly acute when using		
	removable protection devices)		
6	B = Belts and buckles:		
	[] content of this step is explained		
	[] examples are given		
7	C = Connectors:		
	[] content of this step is explained		
	[] examples are given		
8	D = Devices:		
	[] content of this step is explained		
	[] functional test of each device is performed (eg test GriGri)		
	[] examples are given		
9	E = Ends / Equipment / Edges:		
	[] consists of 3 separate check items		
	[] content of each of the 3 check steps is explained		
	[] all knots are checked under 'ends' (eg tie-in knot to harness)		
	[] examples are given		
	[] 'Equipment' – also refers to rescue gear carried (do you have the		
	means to perform a rescue?)		
10	F = Friend (final buddy check):		
	[] 'F' is not a part of the PACI ABCDE safety check		
	[] has been added by some challenge ropes course operators		
	[] reasons why 'F' has been added?		
11	Applications:		
	[] examples of how ABCDE check applies to climbing on artificial		
	surfaces (eg at commercial indoor climbing gyms)		
	[] examples of how ABCDE check applies to climbing on natural surfaces		
	[] example of how ABCDE check applies to challenge ropes course (eg		
	(high elements')		
	[] in lead (trad) climbing, 'A' also refers to removable protection devices		
	(eg sufficient <i>cams</i> and <i>wired nuts</i> carried for the route)		
	[] at indoor climbing gyms, 'A' also includes looking up at ceiling anchor		
	to make sure rope twists are removed) = 1 of 2 VER 1.0 01 July 2017 © Copyright PACI Pty		

	KEY PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	ASSESSOR REMARKS	C / NYC
12	Interaction with class:		
	[] questions were solicited		
	[] friendly social atmosphere maintained		
	[] allowed time for class to think and answer questions		
13	Use of voice and gestures:		
	[] effective use of voice		
	[] use of gestures clearly contributed to learning		
14	Use of props and learning aids: (must be used)		
	[] props and aids clearly enhanced learning		
15	Conclusion / Summary:		
	[] key topics discussed are briefly summarised		
	[] students are advised that the lesson has concluded		
	[] any questions?		

Automatic NYC criteria:

- 1. Inaccurate information that would lead to serious injuries of death
- 2. Technical inaccuracies that are not insignificant
- 3. Content delivered did not fulfil learning objectives
- 4. Disorganised and/or chaotic lesson structure (if the lesson was presented in this manner to real clients it would result in confusion)
- 5. <u>Significant</u> deviation from stated lesson time frame
- 6. Information presented would cause *considerable harm* to the reputation of the candidate or PACI.
- 7. Information presented is of a defamatory or discriminatory nature.
- 8. Equipment design limits would be exceeded triggering catastrophic system failure.

Qualitative impression of lesson delivery						
Average	Outstanding					
	Average					

Assessor comments:

Assessor name: ______

Assessor statement: I declare that I observed a live presentation given by the candidate. I <u>did not</u> interfere with or subtly provide clues to steer the candidate toward a successful presentation covering all required topics. The presentation given was an example of the candidates current level of knowledge and understanding of the subject material and ability to present information in a coherent manner.

Assessor signature:	Dated:
Candidate signature:	Dated: